Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma,S/o Sh. Inder Raj Sharma,
Flat No. 203/1, Block-2, Housefed Complex,
Banur, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 547 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma (Appellant) 78889-86540 Jagtar Singh, APIO-cum-Chief Veterinary Inspector (for the Respondent) 99142-72078 Rishabh Gupta, Veterinary Inspector (for the Respondent) 81460-55511

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI applications are dated **21.10.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the bank statement of Ankit Narang**, as enumerated in his RTI applications. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **21.11.20** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **22.1.21** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **17.9.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present via Video Conference Facility. The respondent PIO has submitted that a reply was sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 3067/3068MOD Dated 23.11.2020, wherein he was informed that the information sought in this RTI application pertains to a third party and therefore denied.
- 3. The Commission concurs with the decision of the respondent PIO. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma,S/o Sh. Inder Raj Sharma,
Flat No. 203/1, Block-2, Housefed Complex,
Banur, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 548 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma (Appellant) 78889-86540 Jagtar Singh, APIO-cum-Chief Veterinary Inspector (for the Respondent) 99142-72078 Rishabh Gupta, Veterinary Inspector (for the Respondent) 81460-55511

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI applications are dated **22.9.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding checking of experience certificate at the time of tender No. 2018DLG18232 1, as enumerated in his RTI applications. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **30.11.20** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **22.1.21** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **17.9.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present via Video Conference Facility. As per the respondent PIO represented by Jagtar Singh, APIO-cum-Chief Inspector Veterinary and Rishab Gupta, Inspector Veterinary stated that a reply along with information to this RTI application was sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 49/HB Dated 24.5.2021. The appellant objects that information provided regarding of one member of committee cited in the RTI application, is wrong. However, a copy of the information given to the appellant has also received in this Commission and it has been supplied under the signatures of the PIO. It is this Commission's view that the reply adequately addresses this RTI application.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma, S/o Sh. Inder Raj Sharma, Flat No. 203/1, Block-2, Housefed Complex, Banur, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

Complaint Case No. 101 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Dr. Sat Paul Sharma (Complainant) 78889-86540 Jagtar Singh, APIO-cum-Chief Veterinary Inspector (for the Respondent) 99142-72078 Rishabh Gupta, Veterinary Inspector (for the Respondent) 81460-55511

ORDER:

- 1. The complainant, **Dr. Sat Paul Sharma**, filed this RTI application dated **1.12.2020** and sought information <u>regarding an audio clip</u>, information from the PIO o/o **Municipal Corporation**, **Patiala**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **11.1.2021**. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **17.9.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present via Video Conference Facility. The respondent PIO, represented by Jagtar Singh, APIO-cum-Chief Inspector Veterinary and Rishab Gupta, Inspector Veterinary, states that the information requested by the complainant has been supplied to him vide Letter No. 51/HB Dated 24.5.2021. The complainant who is present at this hearing, confirms that he has received the requested information and is satisfied with it.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Kesar Singh, S/o Sh. Kapoor Singh, # 74, Raghbir Marg, Patiala-147001

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Executive Officer, Municipal Committee, Doraha, District Ludhiana. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Director, Local Government, Plot No. 3, Sector-35 B, Chandigarh-160035

Appeal Case No. 488 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Kesar Singh (Appellant) 94175-71967 (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

- 1. The RTI applications are dated **14.2.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding copies of letter/correspondence with Harbans Singh/Balbir Singh,</u> as enumerated in his RTI applications. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **2.9.20** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **15.1.21** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **17.9.2021**.
- 2. The respondent PIO is absent without intimation. The Commission has examined the RTI application and is of the considered view that the information requested thereon qualifies for exemption as personal and third party information.
- 3. Under the circumstances, this Commission deems it fit to dispose of and $\underline{\text{CLOSE}}$ this Appeal Case.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Harjot Singh Harikay (Advocate) Chamber No. 1011, District Court Complex, Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Ludhiana Improvement Trust, Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Ludhiana Improvement Trust, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 94 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Harjot Singh Harikay (Appellant) 98150-00231 Yadwinder Singh, Accountant (for the Respondent) 79730-54756

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 9.4.2021 and 12.8.2021)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **13.8.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding Resolution No. 199 dated 10.12.2019 and related documents, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **4.9.2020** and this Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.12.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. This appeal case was last heard on **12.8.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present via Video Conference from Ludhiana. The respondent PIO, represented by Yadwinder Singh, Accountant, stated that that the information requested by the appellant has been provided to him. The appellant confirmed that he has received the requested information and satisfied with it.
- 3. Under the circumstances, there is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.